POSTSECONDARY SYSTEM SUMMARY DEGREE PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY STATUS CALENDAR YEAR 2007

Revised: January 23, 2007

The eligibility status of the institutions is determined through the application of the administrative regulation (13 KAR 2:060).

Postsecondary system performance

Eligibility Category	Universities		Community & Technical College Districts		Total	
	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006
Automatic	7	6	12	7	19	13
Quantitative Waiver	1	1	2	3	3	4
Qualitative Waiver	0	1	1	3	1	4
Not Eligible	0	0	1	3	1	3
Total	8	8	16	16	24	24

Performance by universities

	Objectives Showing	Total Objectives	Degree Program Eligibility		
Institution	Continuous Progress	Evaluated	Status		
			2007	2006	
Eastern Kentucky University	5	8	Quantitative	Automatic	
Kentucky State University	7	7	Automatic	Automatic	
Morehead State University	6	8	Automatic	Quantitative	
Murray State University	7	8	Automatic	Qualitative	
Northern Kentucky University	6	8	Automatic	Automatic	
University of Kentucky	6	8	Automatic	Automatic	
University of Louisville	8	8	Automatic	Automatic	
Western Kentucky University	7	8	Automatic	Automatic	

Notes:

Universities (except Kentucky State University) have eight equal opportunity objectives. Kentucky State University has seven objectives (the objective related to enrollment of graduate students does not apply to KSU).

Automatic eligibility equals continuous progress in at least six of eight objectives. KSU is at least five of seven objectives.

Quantitative waiver equals continuous progress in five of eight objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during calendar year 2007. KSU is four of seven objectives.

Qualitative waiver equals continuous progress in four or fewer of eight objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during calendar year 2007. KSU is three or fewer of seven objectives.

INSTITUTIONAL DEGREE PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY CALENDAR YEAR 2007

The eligibility status of the institutions is determined through the application of the administrative regulation (13 KAR 2:060).

Performance by KCTCS Colleges

renormance by NCTC3 Colleges	<u> </u>		T	
	Objectives			
	Showing	Total		
Institution	Continuous	Objectives	Degree Program Eligibility Status	
	Progress	Evaluated		
Kentucky Community and Technical College Sys	tem		2007	2006
Ashland Community & Technical College	3	4	Automatic	Qualitative
Big Sandy Community & Technical College	3	4	Automatic	Qualitative
Bluegrass Community & Technical College	4	4	Automatic	Automatic
Bowling Green Technical College	4	4	Automatic	Automatic
Elizabethtown Community & Technical College	4	4	Automatic	Automatic
Gateway Community & Technical College	4	4	Automatic	Not Eligible
Hazard Community & Technical College	2	4	Quantitative	Not Eligible
Henderson Community College	3	4	Automatic	Automatic
Hopkinsville Community College	4	4	Automatic	Automatic
Jefferson Community & Technical College	4	4	Automatic	Automatic
Madisonville Community College	2	4	Not Eligible	Quantitative
Maysville Community & Technical College	2	4	Quantitative	Qualitative
Owensboro Community & Technical College	3	4	Automatic	Not Eligible
Somerset Community College	4	4	Automatic	Qualitative
Southeast Kentucky Community & Technical	1	4	Qualitative	Qualitative
College				
West KY Community & Technical College	4	4	Automatic	Automatic

Notes:

The community and technical colleges have four equal opportunity objectives.

Automatic eligibility equals continuous progress in at least three of four objectives.

Quantitative waiver equals continuous progress in two of four objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during the 2007 calendar year.

Qualitative waiver equals continuous progress in zero or one of four objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during the 2007 calendar year.